

i prefer legal code over
computer code although neither is perfect
I believe that law should stand openly against
propagation of hateful stereotypes
that confine identity and place vulnerable groups
under surveillance only now being felt by the privileged who previously
had always "reasonably" expected law would protect
their individual privacy while the others lived & live through
"free" market commodification like
child pornography, hate "speech"
& obscenity
sometimes
involving self exploitation that some
argue is
liberating
performativity

and others see as reinforcing constraining definitions of vulnerable
social groups making privacy from presumptive knowledge impossible
for their members and while cyberfeminism hopes for coalescence around
affinities of interest others seek entry through law's gate to which it seems
empowered others hold the keys (like the copyright lobby) but can privacy and free
expression truly be experienced without equality and what about without e-quality or
without an ethic in criminal intelligence gathering designed to end discriminatory profiling?

jbailey@uottawa.ca